

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/708,339	02/25/2004	Takeshi Ikeda	22040-00016-US2	2338
30678	7590 06/17/2004		EXAMINER	
CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP			CHOE, HENRY	
SUITE 800 1990 M STREET NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20036-3425		2817		

DATE MAILED: 06/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/708,339	10/708,339 IKEDA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	)			
	Henry K Choe	2817	And			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addr	ess			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE.	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this commED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 F						
· <u></u>	s action is non-final.					
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			1 121(d)			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	, ,,,	•	, ,			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	es have been received. Es have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National St	age			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/25/2004.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		52)			

Art Unit: 2817

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Franca-Neto (Fig. 2).

Franca-Neto (Fig. 2) discloses an amplifier circuit comprising FET means (M1, M2), and a tuning circuit (48, 52) which is connected between the FET means (M1, M2) and an output node (26) of the amplifier circuit (Fig. 2).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Franca-Neto (Fig. 2) in view of Sechi (Fig. 3). Art Unit: 2817

Franca-Neto (Fig. 2) discloses all the limitations in the claim 4 except for that the DC blocking capacitor located at a gate of FET. Sechi (Fig. 3) discloses an amplifier circuit comprising a DC blocking capacitor (16) which is located at a base of the transistor (21a). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to have employed the capacitor at the gate of the FET means of Franca-Neto (Fig. 2), such as taught by Sechi (Fig. 3) in order to provide the advantageous benefit of transmitting only RF frequency (high frequency) signal.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sechi (Fig. 3).

Regarding claims 1 and 2, Sechi (Fig. 3) discloses an amplifier circuit comprising transistor means (21a, 21b) which amplifies the AM broadcast signal (14), and tuning circuit (33) which is connected between the transistor means (21a, 21b) and an output node (36) of the amplifier circuit (Fig. 3) and wherein the transistor means includes two cascode-coupled n-bipolar transistors. As described above, Sechi (Fig. 3) discloses all the limitations in the claims except for that the transistor means being p-FETs. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have substituted well known art-recognized equivalent transistors such as the p-type FETs in place of the n-type bipolar transistors in the circuit of the Sechi (Fig. 3) because such a modification would have been considered a mere substitution of art-recognized equivalent transistors.

Regarding claim 3, the transistor means (21a, 21b) includes two cascode coupled n-bipolar transistors which receives the AM broadcast signal (14) and an AGC voltage (IB2).

Art Unit: 2817

Regarding claim 4, Sechi (Fig. 3) further discloses an amplifier circuit comprising a DC-blocking capacitor (16).

### Conclusion

Patent number (5,339,048) is the amplifier with the tuning circuit.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Henry Choe whose telephone number is (571) 272-1760.

HENRY CHOE
PRIMARY EXAMINER

#858